Research Article

Open Access

Assessment of Prosthodontic Awareness and Edentulism in Populations of Lucknow-A Clinical Survey

Isha Rastogi^{*1}, Abhishek Mahendra², Yash Anand³, Shashi Shekhar Mishra⁴

¹Mayo Institute of Medical Sciences, Barabanki, India

²Jaipuria Hospital, Kota, ³Dental Practitioner in Delhi, ⁴Dental Practitioner in Varanasi, India

Corresponding Author: Isha Rastogi, Mayo Institute of Medical Sciences, Barabanki, India. Tel: 9473960548, Email: excellent123@gmail.com

Citation: Isha Rastogi et al. (2017), Assessment of Prosthodontic Awareness and Edentulism in Populations of Lucknow-A Clinical Survey. Int J Dent & Oral Heal. 3:3, 23-30. DOI: [10.25141/2471-657X-2017-3.0034](https://doi.org/10.25141/2471-657X-2017-3.0034)

Copyright: ©2017 Isha Rastogi et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Received: March 17, 2017; **Accepted:** March 27 2017; **Published:** April 6, 2017

Introduction

It has been rightly said, “health is wealth”.

A healthy mouth and replacing missing teeth are necessary for the overall health of an individual. Awareness is important in determining (1) and maintaining overall oral health of a person.

The loss of teeth affects various vital functions as mastication, phonetics, esthetics, dietary intake and nutrition status. It compromises and affects general health (2). We have heard and seen that epidemiological surveys have been conducted in India and abroad on dental caries and periodontal problems but Prosthodontic field has never shown any interest to scholars (3). Thus lack of awareness prevents people from availing of treatment (4) in prosthodontic field.

The target population is unfortunately less literate, less aware and financially weak compared to their urban counterparts (5). Also many myths prevail widely amongst them (6) which are false. This survey was done to determine level of awareness, edentulism and reasons for unfulfilment. Poor oral health and loss of teeth not only affects dietary intake and nutrition status but also compromise general health of the patients.

Last (1988) defined 8 epidemiology as ‘the study of the distribution and determinants of health-related status or events in specified populations and the application of the study to control health problems’.

Weintraub and Buet (1985) used the term ‘edentulism’ to describe the complete absence of natural teeth, regardless of whether they had been replaced or not.

Many authors have suggested use of questionnaire to assist diagnostic and prognostic skills. Levin B., Landesman H M also 10 suggested that some individuals have difficulty in verbalizing

their problems and recommended use of questionnaire which assist patients to reveal their problems. Questionnaire prior to beginning of treatment not only assists the edentulous subject to reveal their problems but also to derive treatment planning addressing awareness of need and expectation of complete denture patients.

Aim

To conduct clinical survey using questionnaire and basic diagnostic tools to assess awareness and edentulism in this population.

Objectives

To compare the level of awareness and edentulism in rural and urban populations. To evaluate the reasons for unfulfilment of prosthodontic needs so that adequate measures can be taken to address the problem.

Clinical Relevance

Most rural population are not aware of treatment options for missing teeth and seem to believe that loss of teeth is a part of the natural ageing process, which is not true.

Material and Methods

Selection of samples-The cluster of villages around Hospital make it logistically ideal to study the awareness and edentulous state of population.

500 subjects above 20 years (250 from neighbouring villages-Kakouli, Purva and Daut Nagar, Lucknow were the rural population and 250 who reported to Dental Outdoor patient department were the urban population) in 2 months were the target of this cross-sectional study. A questionnaire was developed and patient's consent was taken. Diagnostic tools (mouth mirror, straight probe, explorer) were used. Mouth mask and disposable gloves were used for examination.

The starting point for the questionnaire was inquiries related to particulars as name, age, sex, address, education levels, socioeconomic status and occupation. Further the questionnaire concentrated on questions sought to help determine edentulism and awareness of patients regarding need of complete denture. The questionnaire was completed personally for each of the patient who agreed to participate in the study in form of an interview which appeared like normal conversation to allow for introduction and exploration

of ideas. Following the completion of the interview, the patients signed at the end of the questionnaire to mark their consent.

Results

In order to plan for future healthcare provisions for the society, collecting epidemiologic data on health and its related issues are very important. Data on oral health that too particularly related to Prosthodontics is scant. Therefore the study was planned.

AGE IN YRS	
21-30	179
31-40	110
41-50	109
51-60	66
61-70	22
71-80	10
81-90	4
GENDER	
MALES	258
FEMALES	242
EDUCATION	
ILLITERATE	204
TILL PRIMARY	113
TILL HIGH SCHOOL	90
HIGHER EDUCATION	93
EDENTULISM	
NO MISSING	187
<5 MISSING	189
5-15 MISSING	63
>15/COMPLETELY ED.	61
ARCH	
MAXILLA	47
MANDIBLE	34
BOTH	232
CAUSE	
DENTAL CARIES	140
PERIODONTAL	61

TRAUMA	35	
D.CARIES & PERIO	77	
PROBLEM		
ESTHETIC	17	
MASTICATION	216	
COMFORT	2	
ESTH & MAST.	25	
MAST.&PHONETICS	20	
ESTH&MAST&PHON.	33	
REASON		
UNAWARE	235	
COST	6	
SICK	13	
NO CONVEYANCE	4	
NO FACILITIES	5	
NO TIME	24	
NO NEED	84	
FEAR	3	
MYTH	6	
AWARE BY		
SOMEONE WAS TREATED	54	
DENTIST	35	
SELF	157	
INTERNET	4	
OTHERS	15	
KNOW ABOUT		
CD	118	
CD AND RPD/CPD	55	
CD&RPD/CPD&FPD	50	
CD&RPD/CPD&FPD &IMPLANT	31	

Graph 1: Age wise Distribution of Subjects enrolled in the study

Graph 2: Gender wise Distribution of Subjects enrolled in the study

Graph 3: Distribution of subjects according to Education

Graph 4: Distribution of subjects according to Dentition Status

Graph 5: Distribution of subjects according to involved arch

Graph 6: Distribution of subjects according to cause of missing teeth

Graph 7: Prosthodontic Problems

Graph 8: Reasons for not replacing teeth

Graph 9: Source of Awareness

Graph 10: Knowledge about different prosthodontic treatment options

Discussion

Teeth are needed for mastication, phonetics, esthetics and comfort of an individual. With loss of teeth, physical, physiological and psychological trauma to patient occurs. There is a definite need to assess individual awareness and level of edentulism so that one can improve diagnostic skills and also achieve goals of complete denture treatment with apt planning. A structural questionnaire exploring awareness and needs, establishes communication between doctor and patient, builds trust and confidence, reveals our concerns and helps the patient to verbalize their problems.

In this study age group 21-30 years had 179 people, age group 31-40 years had 110 subjects, age group 41-50 years had 109 people, age group 51-60 years had 66 subjects, age group 61-70 years had 22 people, age group 71-80 had 10 people and age group 81-90 had 4 people.

The number of male subjects were 258 and that of females 242.

Among these 204 were illiterate, 113 were educated till primary level, 90 studied till high school and 93 people were highly educated.

The dentulous subjects were 187, those with less than 5 teeth missing were 189, 63 subjects had 5-15 teeth missing, and 61 subjects had more than 15 teeth missing or were completely

edentulous. Older people make extensive use of medical facilities but they seem to underuse dental facilities.

47 subjects reported missing teeth in maxillary arch while 34 subjects had edentulism in mandibular arch. 232 subjects had missing teeth in both arches.

For tooth loss 140 subjects gave the cause as dental caries, 61 people told that it was periodontal disease and 35 people cited the cause was trauma. Dental caries and periodontal disease together accounted for teeth loss in 77 people.

The problems suffered due to absence of teeth were that 216 subjects reported it was mastication, 17 subjects gave esthetics as the problem, 2 subjects had discomfort, 25 subjects had combined problems in esthetics and mastication, 20 subjects had problems in mastication and phonetics and 33 subjects gave problems in all 3 esthetics, mastication and phonetics.

Shigli et al 7 reported that most people in India are aware of only mastication function served by the teeth. They are less aware of the esthetics and phonetics function of teeth. This is in agreement with findings by Annette Thomas-Weintraub who stated that masticatory difficulty was the most frequently voiced complaint and dentists too are responsible for this state as for the same population ratio, there are 10 times more dentists in cities than in

villages in India 5. Szentpetery A G et al 12 noted that problems with eating and chewing dominated when subjects first sought Prosthodontic treatment.

It was found that the rural people did not avoid going out or felt embarrassed in meeting with their family, friends and relatives or any difference while smiling even though they were without teeth. On the contrary, majority of the urban population especially females did not smile much since loss of teeth, felt conscious while socializing and so avoided going out. This could be because of esthetics due to edentulism also affects psychology of a subject.

Thus these results also confirm that the urban subjects especially females are more concerned with esthetics than the rural subjects. This is in accordance with the results of a study done by Davis DM et al 13 where they found a significant association between accepting tooth loss and self-confidence, also most of the edentulous people did not enjoy their food, avoided eating, laughing and going out in public, and avoided forming close relationship as a consequence of losing their teeth.

The reason given for not replacing teeth was lack of awareness in 235 people, cost in 6 subjects, sickness in 13 subjects, no conveyance in 4 subjects and no facilities in 5 subjects. 24 people had no time and 84 subjects felt no need of it. 3 people lived in fear while 6 subjects believed in myths. This showed that lack of awareness was the primary cause of edentulous state.

Mobility 7 problems, lack of information, misconceptions about the value of dental visits have been mentioned as contributing to this apparent disinterest in dental care among geriatric patients. Perceptions associated with increasing age as feelings that they are too old to adapt to dentures and lack of interest in esthetics may also be contributory factors for low perceived needs in the higher age group. Lack of education about the importance of oral health, the need for preventive services and the consequences of neglect appear to constitute a significant barrier to dental healthcare.

Tuominen 14 also found financial constraints to be a main cause. Tennstedt et al 15 reported disinterest as the most common cause for non utilization of Prosthodontic treatment in New England. The most common myth in rural population was tooth loss is an extension of old age and eating tobacco helps to relieve dental pain. Also that only medicines can cure dental diseases. They had a fear that tooth extraction leads to loss of vision and oral prophylaxis causes loosening of teeth.

Of the people who knew about dental treatment, 54 subjects were informed by those who had been treated, 35 were told by a dentist while 4 people came to know through internet. 15 subjects were informed of Prosthodontic treatment by other sources. Of the majority, 157 subjects came to know of Prosthodontic treatment by themselves.

Those who knew of Prosthodontics among them 118 knew about complete dentures, 55 subjects had knowledge of complete dentures and removable/cast partial dentures, 50 people knew about complete dentures, removable/cast partial dentures and fixed partial dentures while 31 people had information about complete

dentures, removable/cast partial dentures, fixed partial dentures and implants.

Conclusion

The study has brought to light that there is a high unmet need of Prosthodontic treatment among populations surveyed. The findings indicate that through increased awareness of patient, his expectations can be sought and apt treatment can be planned and executed.

To improve oral health, it is important to provide oral health education and inform people of importance of Prosthodontic treatment. Preventive dental care is almost non-existent in rural India. Shah 16 observed that for same population ration, there are 10 times more dentists in cities than the population in villages. Primary health care centres in rural areas have no provisions for dental care. Edentulous people are aware only about masticatory function of dentures but are deprived of esthetic and phonetic values.

A distinct need exists for Prosthodontists to be able to understand a patient's motive in seeking Prosthodontic care and to identify these before starting the treatment. More emphasis has to be given to patient mediated concerns. There is lack of awareness among people so education and motivation is the call of the hour. Also need of Prosthodontic care is expected to increase due to increase in average life span of adults. Misconceptions need to be eradicated that tooth loss is unavoidable and inevitable part of ageing process. There is dire need of providing correct information to rural population and targeted programmes have to be conducted. These should inform them of scientific dental practices that are necessary for them. Thus conducting surveys, promoting dental education and motivation are important for rural population so that they can identify availability of Prosthodontic services and treatment modalities.

References

1. Jyoti Nadgere, Anita Gala-Doshi, Sumana Kishore. [An Evaluation of Prosthetic Status and Prosthetic Need amongst people living in and around Panvel, Navi-Mumbai-A Survey. International Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 2010;1\(1\):6-9.](#)
2. Suresh S, Swati Sharma. [A clinical survey to determine the awareness and preference needs of a complete denture among complete edentulous patients. J. Int Oral Health 2010, Vol 2, Issue 3, Page 65-69.](#)
3. Simhachalam Reddy. N. [Edentulism-An Epidemiological Survey of Population in Chennai, India. J Orofac Sci, 2\(1\)2010, page 14-18.](#)
4. Merlin Menezes, Meena Aras. [Prosthodontic Awareness and Fulfillment of Prosthodontic Need in Rural Areas of Goa. JIDA Nov 2009, Vol 3, no 11](#)
5. Saumyendra V Singh, Arvind Tripathi, Zafar Akbar, Suresh Chandra, Anurag Tripathi. [Prevalence of dental myths, oral hygiene methods and tobacco habits in an ageing North](#)

Indian rural population. Gerodontology doi : 10.1111/j.1741-2358.2010.00395.x

6. Swapnil Parlani, Arvind Tripathi, Saumyendra Vikram Singh. Increasing the prosthodontic awareness of an aging Indian rural population. Indian Journal of Dental Research, 22(3), 2011

7. Kamal Shigli, Mamata Hebbal, G.S. Angadi. Attitudes Towards Replacement of teeth among patients at the Institute of Dental Sciences, Belgaum, India. Journal of Dental Education, Nov 2007, vol 71, no 11, page 1467-147

8. M Porta. A dictionary of epidemiology fifth ed. Am J Epidemiol 2009; 170(11):1449-1451

9. Weintraub et al. Oral health status in the US: tooth loss and edentulism. J Dent Educ. 1985

10. B Levin et al. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. Feb 1976, vol 35(2):124-130

11. Shah N. Oral health care system for elderly in India. Geriatr Geront Int 2004; 4:162.

12. Szentpetery A G et al. Problems reported by patients before and after prosthodontic treatment. Int J Prosthodont. 2005 Mar Apr.

13. Davis D M. The emotional effects of tooth loss: a preliminary quantitative study. Br Dent 2000.

14. Tuominen R. Development of edentulousness in Finland

during 1970s. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 1983; 11:259-63

15. Tennstedt S L. Understanding dental service use by older adults: sociobehavioral factors vs need. J Public Health Dent 1994; 54:211-9.

16. Shah N et al. Edentulousness, denture wear and denture needs of Indian elderly- a community based study. J Oral Rehabil 2004; 31:467.

17. Williams SD. Essentials of Nutrition and diet therapy. 5th ed. page 302-305.

18. Owall B. Prosthetic epidemiology. Int Dent J 1986; 36:230-234.

19. Shetty VD Et al. J Contemp Dent Pract 2013. Nov 1; 14(6):1173-7.

20. Peeran S A et al. Eur J Dent 2016; 10(4):459-463. Dental prosthetic status and treatment needs of adult population in Jizan, Saudi Arabia: a survey report.

21. Hongal S et al. Ann Med Health Sci Res 2014; 4(6):943-8. An evaluation of dental prosthetic status and prosthetic needs among eunuchs (transgenders) residing in Bhopal city, MP, India- a study.

22. Deogade et al. J Ind Prosthodont Sci 2013; 13(4):587-92. Dental prosthetic status and prosthetic needs of institutionalized elderly population in old age homes of Jabalpur city, MP, India.